

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,362	02/26/2004	Scott Powell	1875.4270001/JDE 3418		
CTEDNE VEC	7590 08/03/2007 SLED COLDSTEIN &	EXAMINER			
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX, PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934			TRAN, KHAI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/786,362	-	POWELL, SCOTT			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	The MAII ING DATE of this communication an	KHAI TRAN	sheet with the co	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any s	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CC 136(a). In no event, howe will apply and will expire a e, cause the application to	MMUNICATION EVER, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 N	<i>¶ay 2007</i> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	1.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-18</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,11,15 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>8-1012-14</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	٠.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	nt(s)						
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲	Interview Summary				
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 5/4/2007 has been entered. Claims 1-21 are pending in this Office action.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 05/4/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that Bhaskaran reference fails "a de-interleaver that deinterleaves the third signal and a possible error signal combined with the third signal to produce a fourth signal, such that any burst of the error signals is spread out" or "deinterleaving the third signal and a possible error signal combined with the third signal to produce a fourth signal" as respectively recited in independent claims 1 and 19.

In response to the Applicant's argument that Bhaskaran reference discloses in [0034) that a de-interleaver 209 reverse the interleaving operation of the interleaver 203, and an outer FEC decoder applies the appropriate error correction, scheme matching the FEC encoder 202. Therefore, the error can occur during the transmission (a channel 206). A possible error signal combined with the third signal (from the interleaver 203) is inherent in the channel 206 as taught by Bhaskaran reference.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1, 5-6, 7, 11, 15, 19, 21 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bhaskaran et al (US 2004/0128696 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Bhaskaran et al disclose a system comprising: a forward error correction encoder (see Figure 2, a FEC encoder 202) that encodes a first signal to

produce a second signal; an interleaver (203) for interleaving the second signal to produce a third signal; a transmission system (a channel 206) for transmitting the third signal; a de-interleaver (209) for de-interleaving the third signal and a possible error signal combined with the third signal to produce a fourth signal, such that any burst of the error signals is spread out; and a forward error signal decoder (210) that decodes the fourth signal to produce a fifth signal.

Regarding claims 5-6, Bhaskaran et al disclose wherein the interleaver forming the third signal using a sequential interleaving pattern and a random interleaving pattern (see [0007] and [0041]).

Regarding claim 7, Bhaskaran et al disclose the transmission system comprising first and second transceivers that transmit and receive the third signal and the possible error signal over one of wire or wireless transmission medium (see Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 11, Bhaskaran et al disclose the third and the possible error signal being transmitted over one of a wire or wireless transmission medium (see Figure 2).

Regarding claim 15, Bhaskaran et al disclose wherein the transmission system comprising an encoder (202) and decoder (210).

Claims 19, 21 are similar to claims 1 and 15. Therefore, claims 19, 21 are rejected under a similar rationale.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 2-3 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhaskaran et al (US 2004/0128696 A1) in view of Hwang et al (US 2002/0196766 A1).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 2-3, Bhaskaran et al fail to disclose the interleaver comprising a multiplexer, the de-interleaver comprising a de-multiplexer.

Hwang et al disclose the interleaver comprising a multiplexer, the de-interleaver comprising a de-multiplexer (see [0076] and [0087]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform multiplexing and demultiplexing in the interleaver and deinterleaver as taught by Hwang into the teachings of Bhaskaran et al in order to minimize the influence of the narrowband interference.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 4, and 19 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhaskaran et al in view of the admitted prior art.

Regarding claim 4, Bhaskaran et al fail to disclose a multi-dimension data in the first and second signals.

The admitted prior art discloses the first and second signal comprising multi-dimensional data (see [0005]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the multi-dimensional data technique for transmitting a single high rate data stream as taught by the admitted prior art into the teachings of Bhaskaran et al. The motivation would transmit data at a high speed between first and second communications devices.

Claim 20 is similar to claim 4. Therefore, claim 20 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,362 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 16-18 are allowed.

7. Claims 8-10, 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Bhaskaran et al and Hwang et al fail to disclose A system comprising: a two-stage multiplexing system; a two-stage interleaving system, wherein each stage of the interleaving system is positioned subsequent to a corresponding stage of the multiplexing system; a transmission system coupled between a second stage of the interleaving system and a first stage of a two-stage de-interleaving system; a two-stage de-multiplexing system, wherein each stage of the de-multiplexing system is positioned subsequent to a corresponding stage of the de-interleaving system.

#### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/786,362 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAY PATEL can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KHAI TRAN
Primary Examiner

Momanangh

Art Unit 2611